

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2167 10/780,688 02/19/2004 Raymond Michael Brandl EXAMINER 12/30/2005 7590 Raymond Michael Brandl KIM, YOON YOUNG PO Box 162 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Blooming Glen, PA 18911 1723

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Appl | ication No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------|------------|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/7 | 80,688 | BRANDL, RAYMO | ND MICHAEL | |
| Office Action Summary | Exar | niner | Art Unit | | |
| | | n-Young Kim | 1723 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply | ication appears o | on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | dress | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>16 July 20</i> 0 | 04. | | | |
| • | 2b)⊠ This action | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition | for allowance ex | allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restri | ction and/or elect | ion requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | ; | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t | o by the Examine | er. Note the attached Office | Action or form PT | Q-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | / (PTO-413) | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | ate | D-152) | |
| E Patent and Trademady Office | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schneider, U.S. Patent No. 4,712,594.

Regarding Claims 1-2, 4, and 8-9, Schneider discloses a NBC water distribution system that can be disassembled and reassembled, be connected to any water source, and where the parts can be replaced.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding Claim 7, Schneider discloses a flexible non-chemical resistant hose (#24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider.

Regarding Claim 6, Schneider discloses a chemically resistant material (Col. 11, Lines 43-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hose (#17) to be chemically resistant because it is in an environment where it is exposed to such toxins.

Regarding Claim 10, Schneider discloses a bulb siphon pump (#15) to transport water. It would have been obvious that the flexible canteen (#28) would be able to perform a similar function.

7. Claims 3, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider in view of Kirkpatrick, U.S. Patent No. 4,186,786.

Regarding Claims 3 and 11, Schneider discloses a storage container (#78) but does not disclose a storage bag. Kirkpatrick teaches a plastic bag (#10) that changes color when properly sealed (Col. 3, Lines 42-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schneider with the element of Kirkpatrick because it is a common means of

Application/Control Number: 10/780,688

Art Unit: 1723

storage and in order to be able to visually determine that completeness of the occlusion (Col. 3,

Lines 46-50).

Regarding Claim 5, duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and

Page 4

unexpected result is produced. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yoon-Young Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2240. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΥK

12/22/05

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700